



Proceedings of 8th Transport Research Arena TRA 2020, April 27-30, 2020, Helsinki, Finland

Zero crashes, zero congestion, and zero emissions – Future Research in Traffic Management

Caroline Alm  ras^a, Christine Buisson^b, Johan Olstam^c, Ioannis Papamichail^d, Wolfgang Ponweiser^e, and Peter Wagner^{f*}

^a ECTRI, Rue du Tr  ne 98, 1050 Brussels

^b Univ. Lyon, ENTPE, IFSTTAR, LICIT, Lyon, F-69518, France

^c Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute (VTI), Olaus Magnus v  g 35, 581 95 Link  ping, Sweden

^d Dynamic Systems and Simulation Laboratory, Technical University of Crete, Chania, 73100, Greece

^e Center for Mobility Systems, Dynamic Transportation Systems,

AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH, Gief  ngasse 2, 1210 Vienna, Austria

^f German Aerospace Center, Institute for Transportation Systems, R  therfordstrasse 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

This text is the result of the Thematic Group Traffic Management of the ECTRI – European Conference of Transport Research Institutes. As a position paper, it states the challenges that the European Research Agenda will have to face if the following goals for a future transportation system are considered:

- User-aware mobility
- Zero accidents and zero emissions
- Minimum space, time, energy & costs for transport

It describes in a concise manner the research approaches needed to achieve the realization of these goals.

Keywords: Traffic Management; Zero Emissions; Zero Crashes; Zero Congestion.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-30-67055-237;
E-mail address: Peter.Wagner@dlr.de

1. Introduction

ECTRI launched its Thematic Groups in September 2007 as a mean to facilitate exchanges among its researchers interested in similar research fields and in order to promote joint initiatives and positions.

The main objectives of these groups are to define research challenges of interest for supporting EC policies and programmes, to increase successful participation in EU projects and to provide a platform for networking and scientific exchanges. One of these groups is the Thematic Group on Traffic Management (TG-TM). The group consists of 24 experts from 18 Institutes and Universities representing 12 countries[†]. They represent the top European institutes in the field of modelling of road transport, analysis of traffic data, traffic management and traffic information, and also integration of IT technology in cooperative ITS (Intelligent Transport Systems). This paper aims to present the research needs as identified by the group in the field of traffic management for the horizon 2020-2050 to tackle the vision [1] of a transport system which is user-aware, with zero accidents [2], [3] and zero emissions [4], [5], using minimum space, time, energy and costs. The following sections describe these three research needs into more detail.

2. Research needs for a user-aware mobility

A shift from traffic flow management to management of individual movement is deemed necessary towards a user-aware mobility system [6], [7]. Such a system should steer towards the sustainable mobility goals of road authorities by giving advice to the users in real-time of all/ best available options to accomplish a journey from point A to point B, including a combination of various itineraries while integrating different modes and means of transport applying hierarchical control principles. User-aware mobility management should be able to consider different needs and preferences. To accomplish the system optimum and not only the individual traveller optimum, the mobility management system should collect, use and present in a friendly and catching way all necessary information in order to convince its users to act efficiently. The above require close user/ infrastructure cooperation.

To test and assess such a management scheme before its deployment, reliable modelling tools are necessary:

First, modelling tools must be able to make correct multimodal predictions [8]. This includes (i) the total travel demand (number of people and/or goods willing to travel from every origin to every destination at each period); (ii) the modal choice motivations leading to the observed modal share; (iii) the route choices for each mode; and (iv) the flow evolutions (number of vehicles and their occupancy, knowing capacities) for each mode. A primary objective in this direction is to propose valid simulation tools for each mode separately. However, in a truly multimodal perspective this means that moreover modelling tools are able to reproduce the impact each mode has on other modes. Simulation results of a mix of buses and cars on arterials are nowadays acceptable; however, this is not the case for example for the pedestrians exiting a metro station during peak hours and their impact on surface street flows.

Second, if one wants to optimize the modal share to reach a collective optimum of the transportation system [6], there is a need to be able to understand better what the individual reasons for choosing one travel mode or another are. Prices are not the only action lever.

Third, if the objective is to include multimodal trips into the possibilities offered to mobility system users, then the intermodal articulation times (parking, waiting times) must be correctly included into simulation tools. In particular, the potential benefits of articulation times themselves must be understood (for example the possibility to do some small shopping if a train station is included in the trip chain).

[†] AIT Austrian Institute of Technology AT; BAST Federal Highway Research Institute DE; CDV Transport Research Institute CZ; CENIT Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya ES; DEUSTO University of Deusto ES; DLR German Aerospace Center DE; FhG Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft DE; HIT Hellenic Institute of Transport GR; IFSTTAR Institut Français des Sciences et Technologies des Transports, de l'Aménagement et des Réseaux FR; KTI Institute for Transport Sciences HU; TRL Transport Research Laboratory UK; TTI Transport and Telecommunication Institute LAT; TUC Technical University of Crete GR; UNEW Newcastle University UK; UNIZA University of Zilina SK; UVEG University of Valencia ES; VGTU Vilnius Gediminas Technical University LT; VTI Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute SE

Transport means based on automated vehicles (especially fully automated vehicles) can provide a new set of mobility offers [10], [11], [12]. There is no longer the need to find a parking slot in walking distance from the destination; one can be picked up right in front of the door or use new sharing or car ownership systems. Most obvious is that no driver is required. This is of high importance as a driver is not only a cost-pusher of mobility services but there are also a lot of technical, labour-law and time related restrictions that disappear in case a driver is not needed anymore. To prepare transport planning and related traffic management measures it is important to develop demand prediction models able to deal with such disruptive transport supply changes. Much more than today they must be able to deal with ‘uncertainty’. The uncertainty accrues as the final mobility service cannot be foreseen. It is the result of the technical revolution as well as the according legal and business processes.

Traffic management concepts and tools [13] need to be prepared for the now upcoming transition phase. For this phase it is necessary to consider all road users, the motorized and the non-motorized, the automated and the non-automated. Furthermore, as automated vehicles can be introduced much easier if infrastructure and traffic rules are adapted to their needs, there will be high pressure in implementing these changes. We must ensure that they are harmonized and do not contradict transport control objectives and mobility goals. Especially the experience of road users e.g. cyclist, pedestrians and drivers of non-automated vehicles should not experience a decline in level of service due the introduction of automated vehicles. At least, if there are changes, traffic management concepts and tools need to consider them. In the same way it is important not only to distinguish between the different levels of automation but also the different traffic behaviour which will vary also within a certain level of automation.

Another important effect is that connectivity adds a lot of potential to automated vehicles but also to non-automated vehicles. The traffic behaviour is the result of the interaction between different road users as well as the road users/vehicle and its surrounding infrastructure including traffic management. Hence Traffic Management becomes part of a dynamic interaction. One has to ensure that the objectives of Traffic Management can be achieved within such a system and will not escalate and run into unwanted rebound effects.

3. Research needs for zero accidents and zero emissions

Although improved considerably over the last 20 years, the current approach of modelling a transport system is way too coarse. Often, models lack a human perspective; they treat humans as a kind of (possibly faulty) controller, or even completely deterministic. This leads to difficult models when it comes to the modelling of traffic safety and traffic performance, and to models that do make sub-optimal predictions about what increases and what decreases traffic safety and performance.

Furthermore, modelling of the interaction between different modes of travelling, as well as of the interaction between human-driven vehicles and partly or fully automated vehicles needs a research boost that leads to a better description and which is then able to support the policy and management issues to do the best possible transport system planning, management and control. Finally, when it comes to the zero emissions goal, it is necessary to research and develop solutions that work with traffic with mixed engines, which may have a dramatic different footprint in emissions. Here, again, improved modelling that has to develop better algorithms on how to connect a model with online data are truly important to make the best possible policy decisions in urban as well as in inter-urban traffic.

Such an improvement of modelling goes along with much more complete solutions to traffic control. This starts from solutions to handle all the many new and old streams of data and how to best utilize them for traffic management, to the extreme case not to change only the supply side to improve the traffic in the city, but to think of creative solutions to influence the demand side as well. This is especially important when an increase of the use of active modes of transport is sought – one downside of a future automated transport may be that it may increase the traffic and decrease the usage of active modes, and therefore a better management of the demand side might come handy here. When it comes to traffic control, a whole plethora of approaches need to be developed to reach the two zero goals. A transport system that would be radically designed for zero accidents would have a completely different traffic control than it has today. This may lead to concepts that do currently look very exotic like a slot-based traffic management: a certain trip with a certain mode is only allowed, when it does not have any chance to cause harm.

As mentioned already, the modelling issue of an automated and/or cooperative transport system is especially difficult when it comes to the complicated mixture of different technologies with different modes and different amounts of human influence. While the final goal of completely automatic and completely electric seems to be an almost trivial fulfilment of the zero accidents and zero emissions goal, the path towards this very long-term vision is much more complicated. Time and again it has been demonstrated, that such a mixture contains its own challenges and pitfalls. To avoid this, improved modelling is needed that can design countermeasures, too. In the best cases, this transition is smooth, more likely is that it will contain some completely surprising inconsistencies, and in the worst of all cases, it may even decrease traffic performance and traffic safety. Therefore, better models and better means of control are needed to make sure that such hardships are avoided.

4. Research needs for minimum space, time, energy, and costs of transportation

Road traffic congestion arises due to too high demand in relation to available capacity. Means for decongesting transport systems are measures to increase capacity, decrease demand, or decrease the total space-time used for a traveller to fulfil its travel demand.

Intersections and merges are common bottlenecks that limit capacity. In the future, when connected automated vehicles reach high enough equipment rates, capacities of such bottlenecks might be increased by new traffic control approaches as slot based traffic management, speed harmonization or dynamic lane division. An introduction of self-driving vehicles also rise questions on optimization of speed for minimizing the energy used to reach the destination, given that the arrival time might become more important than the actual travel time if the travel time can be used for other purposes than driving the vehicle. There is a need to rethink traffic control from scratch and also ensure that new type of traffic control and traveller response to such controls systems are adequate modelled in traffic models to allow optimization of the designs of the controller and impact assessments.

Decreasing total travel demand is difficult but there are several possibilities for decreasing demand for vehicle trips by optimizing the number of travellers and goods per vehicle. Car-sharing, ridesharing and demand responsive public transport are often mentioned as possible means for this. However, the impact of such systems on vehicle kilometres, congestion, etc. depends on the willingness of sharing vehicles and whether such systems are designed in competition or in cooperation to or as an integrated part of the public transport system. Traffic impact assessment of shared solutions require further development towards multi modal, traveller and trip based traffic models.

Travel demand, capacity and capacity utilization is often discussed from a vehicle perspective and not from a traveller perspective. Road capacity is defined in terms of vehicles and not travellers, persons and goods per hour. How much space and time different modes occupy does not only depend on the level of congestion and the space each vehicle occupies, but on the space that each traveller occupies. To allow for a more mode-independent traffic system performance assessment there is a need to investigate new metrics that take the space, time, energy and cost for transport of the travel demand from a traveller point of view into account. Private car trips with one traveller per vehicle occupy more space both while moving and when parked compared to shared solutions. The vehicle technology development towards automation might not only enable cost-effective shared solutions that decrease the space-time used for each traveller, but also decrease the space needed for parking or enable new smart parking management systems. However, the introduction of shared solutions requires drop off and pick up areas, which also occupy space and need to be properly managed. Thus, there is a need for development of new traffic management and control technologies for the shift from and to vehicle travelling to and from walking. Impact assessment of capacity and traffic performance effects are also needed.

Controlling the mobility system to make it optimal in terms of space and energy consumption, reducing the associated time waste and decreasing globally the individual and social costs is not a doable goal without a correct set of assessment tools. As we have explained before, a truly multimodal modelling tool is a necessity. This tool will allow the estimation of the consumption of energy, the space usage, the travel times and the individual and global costs. This needs an enrichment of the possibilities of our present simulation tools. For example, are we able to determine what the optimal speed of a journey realized with an individual car for a given infrastructure is regarding a multi-objective cost-function? Can we use modelling tools to assess the impact of a

harmonization of the speed of the various vehicles occupying simultaneously a shared urban space? With this multi-objective cost-function, can we define the optimal modal share for a given travel demand? Can we consider not only the presently existing modes but also the future vehicles/modes? More globally, what is the life-cycle cost impact of a ride/car-sharing-based mobility?

5. Our Scope

The European Conference of Transport Research Institutes (ECTRI) is an international non-profit association that was officially founded in April 2003. It is the first attempt to unite the forces of the foremost multimodal transport research centres across Europe and to thereby promote the excellence of European transport research.

Today, it includes 28 major transport research institutes or universities from 21 European countries. Together, they account for more than 4,000 European scientific and research staff in the field of transport. ECTRI as the leading European research association for sustainable and multimodal mobility is committed to provide the scientifically based competence, knowledge and advice to move towards a green, safe, efficient, and inclusive transport for people and goods.

The ECTRI Thematic Group on Traffic Management deals with the following four topics: Traffic modelling, traffic control, impact of automated transport systems, and cooperative transport systems. These are detailed in the following.

- **Traffic modelling:** to understand the impact on system performance (in multiple dimensions including comfort) of control methods correctly. Therefore, almost all transport system objects and their various interactions have to be modelled, starting from pedestrians and ending at automated vehicles as well as the surrounding infrastructure, which has not a passive role at all. In addition, we have to think about how to best utilize the many new data sources.
- **Traffic control:** the classic approaches as well as new traffic control methods have to be improved. Of interest are not only “hard” control methods, but also “softer” methods like providing information to transportation system users. Furthermore, a true intermodal traffic management has still to be invented. In addition, we have to think about how to best utilize the many new sources of data available - currently and in future transport systems.
- **Impact of automated transport systems:** on transport system performance is of special interest including heterogeneity of vehicles, vehicle types, modes of transport and level of automation. Even more, approaches such as mobility on demand for passengers as well as freight might improve under automated transport systems and they have the chance to shape tomorrow’s transport systems. Nevertheless, we should think about means to implement mobility on demand even without automated systems.
- **Cooperative transport systems:** independent and in combination with automation will also shape future’s transportation systems. First implementations of cooperation are already in place in today’s transportation systems. Traffic management has to take as much benefit from this technological trend.

We consider that the combination of those four approaches in research and innovation deployment is needed to make our goal of user-aware mobility, zero crashes and zero emission and minimum resources consumption possible. The future collaboration between the research teams already involved in the thematic group and other European and extra-European teams will contribute significantly.

References

- [1] Zero crashes, zero congestion, and zero emissions – Future Research in Traffic Management (2018) (online, accessed 1 Nov 2019): http://www.ectri.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/2018-12_ECTRI-TGTRAFFIC-MANAGEMENT_Transport-Challenge_2020-2050_Final.pdf
- [2] Tingvall, C. (1998). The Swedish ‘Vision Zero’ and how parliamentary approval was obtained. *Road Safety Research. Policing. Education Conference. 16-17 November 1998, Wellington, New Zealand. Proceedings: Volume 1*. Land Transport Safety Authority. New Zealand Police. pp.6-8.

- [3] European Commission (2019): COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT, EU Road Safety Policy Framework 2021-2030 - Next steps towards "Vision Zero", (accessed 2 Nov 2019): https://ec.europa.eu/transport/themes/strategies/news/2019-06-19-vision-zero_en
- [4] IPCC (2019) 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/2019-refinement-to-the-2006-ipcc-guidelines-for-national-greenhouse-gas-inventories/>
- [5] Bauen, A.; Gomez, I.; OudeNijeweme, D.; Paraschiv, M. (2016), STRIA – Alternative Fuels, part of Low-emission alternative energy for transport, online (accessed 2 Nov 2019): <https://trimis.ec.europa.eu/stria-roadmaps/low-emission-alternative-energy-transport>
- [6] Rahaman, M (2018), *Context-aware mobility analytics and trip planning*, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Science, RMIT University.
- [7] Dotter, F.; Lennert, F.; Patatouka, E. (2019), Smart Mobility Systems and Services Roadmap 2019, , online (accessed 2 Nov 2019): <https://trimis.ec.europa.eu/stria-roadmaps/smart-mobility-and-services>
- [8] Horni, A., Nagel, K. and Axhausen, K.W. (eds.) (2016) *The Multi-Agent Transport Simulation MATSim*. London: Ubiquity Press. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/baw>. License: CC-BY 4.0
- [9] Wardrop, J. C. (1952) Some Theoretical Aspects of Road Traffic Research, Proceedings, Institution of Civil Engineers Part 2, 9, pp. 325–378.
- [10] Taeihagh, A; Lim, H (2019). Governing autonomous vehicles: emerging responses for safety, liability, privacy, cybersecurity, and industry risks. *Transport Reviews*. **39** (1): 103–128.
- [11] Maurer, M.; Gerdes, C.; Lenz, B.; Winner, H. (eds) (2016), *Autonomous Driving, Technical, Legal and Social Aspects*, Springer
- [12] Meyer G.; Blervaque, V.; Haikkola, P. (rapporteurs) (2019) STRIA Roadmap on Connected and Automated Transport: Road, Rail and Waterborne, online (accessed 2 Nov 2019): https://trimis.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/roadmaps/stria_roadmap_2019-connected_and_automated_transport.pdf
- [13] Kearns, S.; Albrecht, H.; D'Ariano, A.; Franco, G.; Tzanidaki, J. (rapporteurs) (2016) Network and Traffic Management Systems, online (accessed 2 Nov 2019): <https://trimis.ec.europa.eu/stria-roadmaps/network-and-traffic-management-systems>